People's Awareness Coalition

ARE YOU SUI JURIS? © Authored by PAC info@pacinlaw.us

"The wise know their weakness too well to assume infallibility; and he who knows most, knows best how little he knows." —Thomas Jefferson

First, let us look at two legal definitions of "Sui Juris":

- SUI JURIS. One who has all the rights to which a freemen is entitled; one who is not under the power of another, as a slave, a minor, and the like. To make a valid contract, a person must, in general, be sui juris. Every one of full age is presumed to be sui juris. —*Bouvier's Law Dictionary*, 1856
- **sui juris**. Of full capacity. In his own right; capable of entering into a contract. —*Ballentine's Law Dictionary, 3rd Edition*

Please note that both definitions have the element of CONTRACT within them.

Now, please note that the first definition above states: *One who is not under the power of another, as a slave, a minor, and the like.* The term "freemen" denotes *civil law.* And, would this not establish that *persons* of such nature are not considered to be of a *SUI JURIS* status? Now let us consider some elements to see if you are *OF THE LIKE*. To see if you are not "of the like" and "not under the power of another", ponder these following questions:

- 1) Are you a *citizen of the United States* and are you willing to be one?
- 2) Do you have and willingly utilize a *Social Security Number* and do you plan to take benefits from the Social Security program?

If you answered *yes* to either one of the above questions, you are not truly *sui juris*. Why you ask? Because — in essence — you as an adult are now under the guardianship of the federal government, *i.e.*, the United States and *ITs* "14th Amendment State". To further explain: When you turned 18 years of age you had the choice to be a *dependent* of the federal government or to act *sui juris* and depart the *civil law*. As you have voluntarily elected to be a United States citizen — thus expected to take a Social Security Number based on positive law of the *federal* nation — you went from being a child of your parents to being a *child* of the government(s).¹

Unbeknownst to you this was your first operational "contract" when you reached your age of majority. It is referred to as *acquiescence by silence*. See the following definitions which define the foregoing inflicted status as defined by *American Heritage Dictionary*:

- **de·pend·ent.** 1. Contingent on another. 2. Subordinate. 3. Relying on or requiring the aid of another for support: dependent children. 4. Hanging down. de·pend·ent, n. also de·pend·ant. One who relies on another especially for financial support.
- **guard·i·an.** 1. One that guards, watches over, or protects. 2. Law. One who is legally responsible for the care and management of the person or property of an incompetent or a minor.
- **vas·sal.** 1. A person who held land from a feudal lord and received protection in return for homage and allegiance. 2. A bondman; a slave. 3. A subordinate or dependent.

Without a doubt, all the above definitions fit perfectly and are applicable to a *diminished* status.

¹ INFANT, persons. One under the age of twenty-one years. -Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856

This situation is stealthily imposed on you. Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Federal Constitution fundamentally naturalizes you at birth. This takes you out of your *lawful status* at birth. You are then a federal national rather than a *lawful* national of your true country. Due to this inflicted legal status, you are more than *OF THE LIKE*. Not only are you considered a MINOR (of sorts), you are specifically a *SLAVE* or are in *SERVITUDE* if you vote.²

You may see "fiction of law" and "silent judicial notice" for the particulars of the above stated legal premise.³ In other words: you do not have to be duly convicted to be in servitude: you are silently condemned for being a *United States citizen* and thus subject to *civil law* of the status.

Under doctrines of consent by *silence*, *benefits*, and *positive law* you have volunteered and/or contracted to be a United States citizen and have lost your natural rights to *THE STATE*:

"The citizen cannot complain, because he has voluntarily submitted himself to such a form of government and must pay the penalties..."

That was stated by the Supreme Court in U.S. v Cruikshank.⁴

Under principles of Common Law, a man's silence will deem his status to be that of a *de facto* citizen of the United States (*of* America). Even a man claiming to be "Sui Juris" puts him under civil liabilities, as a *person* being a *subject* of the new "federal" political system. He may be a receiver of its benefits in some way. Accordingly, the below cannot be evidenced enough:

- **Invito beneficium non datur.** No one is obliged to accept a benefit against his consent. But if he does not dissent, he will be considered as assenting.
- **Cujus est commodum ejus debet esse incommodum.** He who receives the benefit should also bear the disadvantage.

Consequently, the governments can make you do whatever they want:

- Pay unconstitutional taxes. . .
- Put you in jail for victimless crimes. . .
- Take your property without a court proceeding. . .
- Fight private wars for the New World Order. . .
- Etc., etc... because fundamentally you are a vassal.

This is all component of socialism via the beloved *Civil Law*. But you have volunteered... Now we ask you: Are you Sui Juris? If so, are you who you think you are?

The Coalition has been *separating the wheat from the chaff* since 1998.

Please join us in appropriating education and freedom that the republics provide!



Visit People's Awareness Coalition at : www.pacalliance.us

Join us at the PAC Open Forum : www.pacgroups.us/register

² See the article, *Treason by Design*, at: www.pacinlaw.us/treason

³ **FICTION.** An assumption of supposition of law that something which is or may be false is true, or that a state of facts exists which has never really taken place. —*Black's Law Dictionary, 4th Edition*

⁴ The citizen cannot complain, because he has voluntarily submitted himself to such a form of government. He owes allegiance to the two departments, so to speak, and within their respective spheres must pay the penalties which each exacts for disobedience to its laws." —*U.S. v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875)* http://laws.findlaw.com/us/92/542.html