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“The wise know their weakness too well to assume infallibility; and he who knows most, knows best how little he knows.” —Thomas Jefferson

First, let us look at two legal definitions of “Sui Juris”:

- **SUI JURIS.** One who has all the rights to which a freemen is entitled; one who is not under the power of another, as a slave, a minor, and the like. To make a valid contract, a person must, in general, be sui juris. Every one of full age is presumed to be sui juris. —*Bouvier’s Law Dictionary, 1856*

- **sui juris.** Of full capacity. In his own right; capable of entering into a contract. —*Ballentine’s Law Dictionary, 3rd Edition*

Please note that both definitions have the element of CONTRACT within them.

Now, please note that the first definition above states: *One who is not under the power of another, as a slave, a minor, and the like.* The term “freemen” denotes civil law. And, would this not establish that persons of such nature are not considered to be of a SUI JURIS status? Now let us consider some elements to see if you are OF THE LIKE. To see if you are not “of the like” and “not under the power of another”, ponder these following questions:

1) Are you a citizen of the United States and are you willing to be one?

2) Do you have and willingly utilize a Social Security Number and do you plan to take benefits from the Social Security program?

If you answered *yes* to either one of the above questions, you are not truly sui juris. Why you ask? Because — in essence — you as an adult are now under the guardianship of the federal government, *i.e.*, the United States and its “14th Amendment State”. To further explain: When you turned 18 years of age you had the choice to be a dependent of the federal government or to act sui juris and depart the civil law. As you have voluntarily elected to be a United States citizen — thus expected to take a Social Security Number based on positive law of the federal nation — you went from being a child of your parents to being a child of the government(s).

Unbeknownst to you this was your first operational “contract” when you reached your age of majority. It is referred to as *acquiescence by silence*. See the following definitions which define the foregoing inflicted status as defined by *American Heritage Dictionary*:

- **depend·ent.** 1. Contingent on another. 2. Subordinate. 3. Relying on or requiring the aid of another for support: dependent children. 4. Hanging down. de·pend·ent, n. also de·pend·ant. One who relies on another especially for financial support.

- **guard·i·an.** 1. One that guards, watches over, or protects. 2. Law. One who is legally responsible for the care and management of the person or property of an incompetent or a minor.

- **vas·sal.** 1. A person who held land from a feudal lord and received protection in return for homage and allegiance. 2. A bondman; a slave. 3. A subordinate or dependent.

Without a doubt, all the above definitions fit perfectly and are applicable to a diminished status.
This situation is stealthily imposed on you. Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Federal Constitution fundamentally naturalizes you at birth. This takes you out of your lawful status at birth. You are then a federal national rather than a lawful national of your true country. Due to this inflicted legal status, you are more than OF THE LIKE. Not only are you considered a MINOR (of sorts), you are specifically a SLAVE or are in SERVITUDE if you vote.²

You may see “fiction of law” and “silent judicial notice” for the particulars of the above stated legal premise.³ In other words: you do not have to be duly convicted to be in servitude: you are silently condemned for being a United States citizen and thus subject to civil law of the status.

Under doctrines of consent by silence, benefits, and positive law you have volunteered and/or contracted to be a United States citizen and have lost your natural rights to THE STATE:

“The citizen cannot complain, because he has voluntarily submitted himself to such a form of government and must pay the penalties…”

That was stated by the Supreme Court in U.S. v Cruikshank.⁴

Under principles of Common Law, a man’s silence will deem his status to be that of a de facto citizen of the United States (of America). Even a man claiming to be “Sui Juris” puts him under civil liabilities, as a person being a subject of the new “federal” political system. He may be a receiver of its benefits in some way. Accordingly, the below cannot be evidenced enough:

- **Invito beneficium non datur.** No one is obliged to accept a benefit against his consent. But if he does not dissent, he will be considered as assenting.

- **Cujus est commodum ejus debet esse incommodum.** He who receives the benefit should also bear the disadvantage.

Consequently, the governments can make you do whatever they want:

- Pay unconstitutional taxes. . .
- Put you in jail for victimless crimes. . .
- Take your property without a court proceeding. . .
- Fight private wars for the New World Order. . .
- Etc., etc... because fundamentally you are a vassal.

This is all component of socialism via the beloved Civil Law. But you have volunteered...

Now we ask you: Are you Sui Juris? If so, are you who you think you are?

**The Coalition has been separating the wheat from the chaff since 1998.**

Please join us in appropriating education and freedom that the republics provide!
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2  See the article, *Treason by Design*, at: [www.pacinlaw.us/treason](http://www.pacinlaw.us/treason)

3  **FICTION.** An assumption of supposition of law that something which is or may be false is true, or that a state of facts exists which has never really taken place. —*Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition*

4  The citizen cannot complain, because he has voluntarily submitted himself to such a form of government. He owes allegiance to the two departments, so to speak, and within their respective spheres must pay the penalties which each exacts for disobedience to its laws.” —U.S. v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875) [http://laws.findlaw.com/us/92/542.html](http://laws.findlaw.com/us/92/542.html)